





 $\mathcal{N}^{0}$ 



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/822,073	03/30/2001	Lenny Low	PA-Y0048	8069
75	590 10/31/2002			
Joyce Kosinski			EXAMINER	
Loral Space and Communications, Ltd. Suite 303			ATKINSON, CHRISTOPHER MARK	
655 Deep Valle			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rolling Hills Estates, CA 90274			3743	
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2002	<u>!</u>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	N		
ED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		

APPLICATION NUMBER

FILING DATE

FIRST NAM

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
ADVISORY ACTION
™THE PERIOD FOR REPLY:
a) Wwill expire three months from the date of the final Office action (including extensions of time granted).
b) a expires either (1) three months from the mail date of the final Office action, or (2) on the mail date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than six months from the mail date of the final Office action.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed 9/23/02 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance.
1. The proposed amendment to the claim and/or specifications will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
<ul> <li>a.          There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.     </li> </ul>
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
<ul> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.</li> </ul>
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: The Proposed amendments raise new issues  that upult require further consideration  and/or search:
Newly proposed or amended claimwould be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment is will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
Claim allowed:
Claims objected to: None Claims rejected: I – C
However;
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.
☐ Other
Applicant may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA).
CHRISTOPHER ATKINSON

PRIMARY EXAMINER

FORM PTO: ---- (REV. 2/98)